

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP

Stephen Karotkin (*pro hac vice*)

(stephen.karotkin@weil.com)

Jessica Liou (*pro hac vice*)

(jessica.liou@weil.com)

Matthew Goren (*pro hac vice*)

(matthew.goren@weil.com)

767 Fifth Avenue

New York, NY 10153-0119

Tel: 212 310 8000

Fax: 212 310 8007

KELLER & BENVENUTTI LLP

Tobias S. Keller (#151445)

(tkeller@kellerbenvenutti.com)

Jane Kim (#298192)

(jkim@kellerbenvenutti.com)

650 California Street, Suite 1900

San Francisco, CA 94108

Tel: 415 496 6723

Fax: 650 636 9251

*Proposed Attorneys for Debtors  
and Debtors in Possession*

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

**In re:**

**PG&E CORPORATION,**

**- and -**

**PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC  
COMPANY,**

**Debtors.**

☐ Affects PG&E Corporation

☒ Affects Pacific Gas and Electric  
Company

☐ Affects both Debtors

*\* All papers shall be filed in the Lead  
Case, No. 19-30088 (DM).*

Bankruptcy Case  
No. 19-30088 (DM)

Chapter 11

(Lead Case)

(Jointly Administered)

**MOTION OF DEBTORS PURSUANT TO 11  
U.S.C. §§ 363(b) AND 105(a) FOR  
AUTHORITY TO CONTINUE  
PERFORMANCE UNDER PREPETITION  
SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT WITH BUTTE  
COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE  
TO FUND ENHANCED FIRE PREVENTION  
AND COMMUNICATIONS PROGRAM**

Date: March 27, 2019

Time: 9:30 a.m. (Pacific Time)

Place: United States Bankruptcy Court

Courtroom 17, 16th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94102

1 PG&E Corporation (“**PG&E Corp.**”) and Pacific Gas and Electric Company (the “**Utility**”), as  
2 debtors and debtors in possession (collectively, “**PG&E**” or the “**Debtors**”) in the above-captioned  
3 chapter 11 cases (the “**Chapter 11 Cases**”), hereby submit this Motion (the “**Motion**”), pursuant to  
4 sections 363(b) and 105(a) of title 11 of the United States Code (the “**Bankruptcy Code**”), for entry of  
5 an order authorizing the Utility to continue performance under that certain Settlement Agreement and  
6 Mutual Release, dated October 4, 2018, between the People of the State of California (the “**People**”)  
7 currently represented by the District Attorney of Butte County, California (the “**District Attorney**”) and  
8 the Utility (the “**Settlement Agreement**”) to, inter alia, establish and fund an Enhanced Fire Prevention  
9 and Communications Program as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

10 A proposed form of order granting the relief requested herein is annexed hereto as **Exhibit A**  
11 (the “**Proposed Order**”). In support of the Motion, the Debtors submit the declaration of Stephen L.  
12 Schirle, filed contemporaneously herewith. A copy of the Settlement Agreement is annexed hereto as  
13 **Exhibit B.**  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

## MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

### I. JURISDICTION

The Court has jurisdiction to consider this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334, the *Order Referring Bankruptcy Cases and Proceedings to Bankruptcy Judges*, General Order 24 (N.D. Cal.), and Rule 5011-1(a) of the Bankruptcy Local Rules for the United States District Court for the Northern District of California (the “**Bankruptcy Local Rules**”). This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b). Venue is proper before the Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

### II. BACKGROUND

On January 29, 2019 (the “**Petition Date**”), the Debtors commenced with the Court voluntary cases under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors continue to operate their businesses and manage their properties as debtors in possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. No trustee or examiner has been appointed in either of the Chapter 11 Cases. The Debtors’ Chapter 11 Cases are being jointly administered for procedural purposes only pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 1015(b).

On February 12, 2019, the United States Trustee (the “**U.S. Trustee**”) appointed an Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the “**Creditors Committee**”). On February 15, 2019, the U.S. Trustee appointed an Official Committee of Tort Claimants (the “**Tort Claimants Committee**” and, together with the Creditors Committee, the “**Committees**”).

Additional information regarding the circumstances leading to the commencement of the Chapter 11 Cases and information regarding the Debtors’ businesses and capital structure is set forth in the *Amended Declaration of Jason P. Wells in Support of the First Day Motions and Related Relief* [Docket No. 263] (the “**Wells Declaration**”).

### III. SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

In early October 2017, the Cherokee Fire, Honey Fire, and LaPorte Fires started in Butte County (collectively, the “**2017 Butte County Fires**”). During the second quarter of 2018, the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection (“**Cal Fire**”) issued news releases announcing its determination of the causes of, among other things, the 2017 Butte County Fires. According to the Cal Fire releases, the Cherokee, Honey and LaPorte fires were caused by trees coming into contact with

1 power lines, and Cal Fire referred the Honey fire to the District Attorney's Office for investigation. In  
2 connection with the investigation, and the Utility's and the State's common interest in fire safety and  
3 reducing the risk of fires caused by vegetation coming into contact power lines and equipment, the Utility  
4 determined that it was appropriate to enter into the Settlement Agreement with the People represented  
5 by the District Attorney to help further reduce the risk of wildfire.

6 Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, the Utility agreed to provide funding to Butte County  
7 through the District Attorney for an Enhanced Fire Prevention and Communications Program (the  
8 "**Program**") to be run and administered by the Butte County Fire Department (the "**Fire Department**").  
9 The Settlement Agreement and the Program are designed to promote increased coordination and  
10 communications between the Utility and the People. Specifically, in accordance with the Settlement  
11 Agreement, the Utility has agreed to participate in quarterly meetings with the Fire Department and  
12 County officials to report on (i) the Utility's vegetation management practices and plans in Butte County;  
13 (ii) the results of the Utility's quality assurance and quality control work in Butte County; and (iii) the  
14 Utility's vegetation management contractor training and certification materials.

15 The Settlement Agreement and Program also establish a time frame and process by which the  
16 Fire Department can notify the Utility of any compliance issues or concerns, including any imminent  
17 threats, and for the Utility to respond and, if necessary, cure such issues or concerns prior to any citation  
18 issuing. If the Fire Department inspectors hired under the Program identify any alleged or potential  
19 violation or compliance concern, the Fire Department shall notify the Utility of each alleged or potential  
20 violation or compliance concern. If the Utility resolves the alleged or potential violation or compliance  
21 concern within a reasonable time, generally not to exceed thirty (30) days of receiving such notice, no  
22 citation shall be issued for that alleged violation or compliance concern. If there is an alleged or potential  
23 violation or compliance concern that the Fire Department determines is a "burner" or another situation  
24 where there is immediate peril of fire, the Fire Department shall issue to the Utility a notice of such  
25 immediate threat. The Utility will immediately resolve such notices, within twenty-four (24) hours.

26 To fund the Program, the Utility agreed to pay the sum of up to one million five hundred thousand  
27 dollars (\$1,500,000) to be administered by the District Attorney over a period from January 1, 2019 to  
28 December 31, 2023. Any amounts paid by the Utility will be used, among other things, for the purposes

1 of hiring four (4) inspectors, purchasing and funding inspection vehicles and a trailer, paying for related  
2 office equipment, and funding other expenses related to the Program.

3 Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, Program payments are required to be made by the Utility  
4 into a separate Butte County Enhanced Fire Prevention and Communications Special Revenue Fund  
5 (“**Fund**”) in the following installments:

- 6 a) The first installment of \$500,000 was paid on November 2, 2018 (within  
7 30 days of the effective date of the Settlement Agreement);  
8  
9 b) The second installment of \$500,000 is due on April 2, 2019;  
10  
11 c) The third installment of \$400,000 is due on September 29, 2019; and  
12  
13 d) Any remaining installments, not to exceed \$100,000, will be paid upon the  
14 request of the District Attorney and Fire Department after September 29,  
15 2019, but prior to the Settlement Agreement expiration date on December  
16 31, 2023.

17 Accordingly, as of the date of this Motion, the total maximum amount outstanding under the  
18 Settlement Agreement will not exceed one million dollars (\$1,000,000). The Settlement Agreement was  
19 entered into without any admission of liability or wrongdoing on the part of the Utility. Further, the  
20 Settlement Agreement also includes a covenant that the People, including by and through the District  
21 Attorney, agree not to commence or prosecute any civil or criminal actions, charges, causes of actions  
22 or proceeding against the PG&E Released Parties related to the Settled Matters.<sup>1</sup>

23 The Company understands that Butte County is in the process of obtaining approvals to  
24 use the initial \$500,000 payment to purchase equipment and recruit personnel and would like assurance  
25 that the Utility remains committed to honoring its obligations under the Settlement Agreement. The  
26

27 <sup>1</sup> Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, (i) PG&E Released Parties shall mean (a) PG&E Corporation,  
28 Pacific Gas and Electric Company and any wholly-owned subsidiaries thereof; and (b) the respective  
officers, directors, shareholders, affiliates, agents, principals, employees, attorneys, successors, and  
assigns of the persons or entities described in (a) immediately above; and (ii) Settled Matters shall mean  
all past, present, and future civil claims or criminal charges of any nature whatsoever in any way relating  
to the Fires, including without limitation all claims, allegations, damages, liabilities, causes of action,  
complaints, criminal charges, lawsuits, responsibilities, demands, debts, and obligations of any kind or  
character, known or unknown, foreseen or unforeseen, asserted or unasserted, existing or contingent,  
whether at law or in equity, that arise out of, or relate in any way to the Fires, including, but not limited  
to, civil claims or criminal charges brought under California Public Resources Code §§ 421, 4292, 4293,  
4435; Penal Code § 452 (inclusive); California Health & Safety Code § 13000 et seq.; California Health  
& Safety Code § 12510; California Business & Professions Code § 17200 et seq.; and any common law  
claims that may arise from the 2017 Butte County Fires.

Utility and the District Attorney entered into the Settlement Agreement because they share a common interest in safety and the reduction of the risk of wildfires. The Settlement Agreement furthers the Debtors' objective of keeping their customers and the communities they serve safe by promoting increased reporting, accountability, and communication. It also furthers the Debtors' interest of resolving all matters related to the Butte County fires with the People through the District Attorney. Accordingly, the Debtors believe, and request that the Court find, that the Motion is in the best interest of the Debtors, their estates, creditors, shareholders, and all other parties in interest and should be approved.

#### IV. BASIS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED

The settlement embodied in the Settlement Agreement should be approved pursuant to sections 363(b) and 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code.

Section 363(b)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code provides that "[t]he trustee, after notice and a hearing, may use, sell, or lease, other than in the ordinary course of business, property of the estate . . . ." Under this section, a court may authorize a debtor to pay certain prepetition claims. *See In re Ionosphere Clubs, Inc.*, 98 B.R. 174, 175 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1989) (affirming lower court order authorizing payment of prepetition wages pursuant to section 363(b) of the Bankruptcy Code). To do so, courts require that the debtor "show that a sound business purpose justifies such actions." *In re Montgomery Ward Holding Corp.*, 242 B.R. 147, 153 (D. Del. 1999) (citations omitted); *see also In re Phx. Steel Corp.*, 82 B.R. 334, 335–36 (Bankr. D. Del. 1987) (stating that section 363 was satisfied where there was a "good business reason" for the sale). Additionally, "[w]here the debtor articulates a reasonable basis for its business decisions (as distinct from a decision made arbitrarily or capriciously), courts will generally not entertain objections to the debtor's conduct." *In re Johns-Manville Corp.*, 60 B.R. 612, 616 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1986) (citation omitted); *see also In re Laurel Fertility Care*, Case No. 14-30403-DM-11 (Bankr. N.D. Cal. May 21, 2014) (finding that bankruptcy courts have the authority to authorize the debtor to pay certain prepetition claims).

Additionally, section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code provides that "[t]he court may issue any order, process, or judgment that is necessary or appropriate to carry out the provisions of this title." 11 U.S.C. § 105(a); *Mgmt. Tech. Corp. v. Pardo*, 56 B.R. 337, 339 (Bankr. D.N.J. 1985); *In re Unoil*, 948

1 F. 2d 678, 682 (10th Cir. 1991). A Bankruptcy Court may use its equitable powers to authorize the  
2 payment of prepetition debt when such payment is necessary to facilitate the rehabilitation of the debtor.  
3 *See In re Adams Apple, Inc.*, 829 F.2d 1484, 1490 (9th Cir. 1987) (noting that unequal treatment of pre-  
4 petition debts may be permissible when necessary for rehabilitation, in such contexts as (i) pre-petition  
5 wages to key employees; (ii) hospital malpractice premiums incurred prior to filing; (iii) debts to  
6 providers of unique and irreplaceable supplies; and (iv) peripheral benefits under labor contracts); *see*  
7 *also In re Pettit Oil Co.*, No. 13-47285, 2015 WL 6684225, at \*8 (Bankr. W.D. Wash. Oct. 22, 2015)  
8 (citing *In re Adams Apple Inc.* for proposition that it “is permissible to treat prepetition debts unequally  
9 when necessary for rehabilitation”); *Gordon v. Hines (In re Hines)*, 147 F.3d 1185, 1191 (9th Cir. 1998)  
10 (applying “essentially a doctrine of necessity” to provide for the payment of the fees of debtor's counsel  
11 in chapter 7 cases because without this right the “entire [chapter 7] system would suffer a massive  
12 breakdown”); *but see In Matter of B & W Enterprises, Inc.*, 713 F.2d 534, 535 (9th Cir. 1983) (failing to  
13 elevate certain prepetition payments over others where prepetition payments at issue there were made  
14 by the debtor without notice, hearing, or authorization from the Bankruptcy Court).

15 As set forth above, the Settlement Agreement resolves all issues and claims (civil or criminal)  
16 that may be asserted by the People through the District Attorney in any way related to the 2017 Butte  
17 County Fires. Further, the Settlement Agreement promotes and funds a Program for enhanced fire  
18 protection in Butte County that will inure to the benefit of the residents of Butte County and, indeed all  
19 other parties in interest. The Utility already has funded a significant part of the Settlement Agreement,  
20 however, the remaining funding is required to both ensure the effectiveness of the covenant not to sue  
21 and that the Program be implemented and achieve its beneficial purpose. The Utility believes that under  
22 these circumstances, the continued performance under the Settlement Agreement clearly represents a  
23 sound exercise of its business judgement and should be approved.

## 24 **V. REQUEST FOR BANKRUPTCY RULE 6004 WAIVERS**

25 The Debtors request a waiver of the notice requirements under Bankruptcy Rule 6004(a)  
26 and any stay of the order granting the relief requested herein pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 6004(h). As  
27 explained above, the relief requested herein is in the best interest of the Debtors, their estates, creditors,  
28



1 shareholders, and all other parties. Accordingly, ample cause exists to justify the waiver of the notice  
2 requirements under Bankruptcy Rule 6004(a) and the fourteen-day stay imposed by Bankruptcy Rule  
3 6004(h), to the extent such notice requirements and stay apply.

#### 4 **VI. NOTICE**

5 Notice of this Motion will be provided to (i) the Office of the United States Trustee for Region  
6 17 (Attn: James L. Snyder, Esq. and Timothy Laffredi, Esq.); (ii) counsel to the Creditors Committee;  
7 (iii) counsel to Tort Claimants Committee; (iv) the Securities and Exchange Commission; (v) the Internal  
8 Revenue Service; (vi) the Office of the California Attorney General; (vii) the California Public Utilities  
9 Commission; (viii) the Nuclear Regulatory Commission; (ix) the Federal Energy Regulatory  
10 Commission; (x) the Office of the United States Attorney for the Northern District of California; (xi)  
11 counsel for the agent under the Debtors' debtor in possession financing facility; and (xii) those persons  
12 who have formally appeared in these Chapter 11 Cases and requested service pursuant to Bankruptcy  
13 Rule 2002. The Debtors respectfully submit that no further notice is required.

14 No previous request for the relief sought herein has been made by the Debtors to this or any other  
15 court.

16 WHEREFORE the Debtors respectfully request entry of an order granting (i) the relief requested  
17 herein as a sound exercise of the Utility's business judgment and in the best interests of its estate,  
18 creditors, shareholders, and all other parties interests, and (ii) such other and further relief as the Court  
19 may deem just and appropriate.

20 Dated: March 6, 2019

21 **WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP**

22 **KELLER & BENVENUTTI LLP**

23  
24 By: /s/Jane Kim  
Jane Kim

25 *Proposed Attorneys for Debtors*  
26 *and Debtors in Possession*  
27  
28